



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/921,784	08/03/2001	Dong Hwan Son	14743	7372
7590 04/05/2005				
Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser 400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530		EXAMINER NGUYEN, SON XUAN		
		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
		2664		
DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/921,784

Applicant(s)

SON ET AL.

Examiner

SON X. NGUYEN

Art Unit

2664

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 August 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 August 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/28/02</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Specification

1. Claims 1-5 are objected to because of the following informalities:

With regarding to claim 1 in line 5, "the node" should be changed to -- a node --. In line 5, "the one output line" should be changed to -- one output line --. In line 9, "the select packet" should be changed to -- selected packet --. In line 16, "checking it" should be changed to -- checking --. In line 18, "the two duplicated packets" should be changed to -- two duplicated packets --.

With regarding to claim 2 in line 25, "the normal" should be changed to -- normal --.

With regarding to claim 3 in line 10, "the host connection port" should be changed to -- a host connection port --. In line 12, "the two output ports" should be changed to -- two output ports --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regarding to claim 1, the term dual node is not disclosed in specification or in the diagrams. It is not clear if applicant means two separate nodes or two combined nodes.

With regarding to claim 1, it is not clear what is meant by "object node". It is not clear if it means adjacent node, intermediate node or destination node.

With regarding to claim 1, it is not clear what is meant by the word "disusing". Examiner could not understand of what being claimed.

Claims 2-5 are rejected as they depend upon rejected independent claim 1.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.


- a) Beifuss et al. (U.S 4,388,683) Data transmission/receiving device having parallel/serial and serial parallel character conversion, particularly for data exchange between communicating data processing systems.
- b) Andrew Glista (U.S 4,837,856) Fault-tolerant fiber optic coupler/repeater for use in high speed data transmission and the like.
- c) Roorda et al. (U.S 6,643,464) Constrained optical mesh protection for transmission systems.
- d) Fin et al. (U.S 6,728,205) Method and apparatus for automatic protection switching.
- e) Karen Hermann (U.S 6,848,006) Ring-Mesh networks.
- f) Sid Chaudhuri (U.S 6,324,162) Path-based restoration mesh networks.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SON X. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-6048. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM -5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Vanderpuye can be reached on 571-272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Son Xuan Nguyen 3/22/05


KENNETH VANDERPUYE
PRIMARY EXAMINER